

**Dynamics beyond uniform hyperbolicity:
Linear response in the absence of structural stability**

Viviane BALADI

*DMA, École Normale Supérieure,
75005 Paris, France
E-mail: viviane.baladi@ens.fr*

In 1997, Ruelle¹⁸ obtained a *linear response* formula, that is a formula for the derivative $\partial_t \mu_t$ of the SRB measure of f_t , in the case of a one-parameter family f_t of smooth uniformly hyperbolic mixing attractors. He¹⁹ conjectured that a similar formula should hold beyond the smooth uniformly hyperbolic case. In this note, we explain how we discovered (see² and our joint work^{3,4} with D. Smania) that an additional condition is necessary in the one-dimensional setting of piecewise expanding unimodal maps. The (codimension one) condition is that the family f_t be tangent to the topological class of f_0 . When this holds, we obtain Ruelle's candidate for the derivative. We end by mentioning recent developments in the nonuniformly hyperbolic setting.

Keywords: SRB measures, linear response, piecewise expanding maps, transfer operator.

1. Introduction

The minisymposium “Global dynamics beyond uniform hyperbolicity — mixing and statistical properties” held at Equadiff 07 explored several avenues beyond the framework of smooth uniformly hyperbolic dynamics. Sometimes, the statistical features (such as exponential mixing or invariance principles, e.g.) remain the same, although new ideas are needed to tackle technical difficulties. In other cases, intrinsically different behaviour appears (bifurcations, phase transitions, or slow mixing, to name a few). We shall present recent results from the second category: D. Ruelle¹⁸ proved that the average $\int \varphi d\mu_t$ of a smooth observable φ with respect to the SRB²³ measure μ_t of a smooth one-parameter family f_t of smooth hyperbolic mixing attractors depends differentiably on t , and he gave a formula for the derivative: the *linear response formula*. He conjectured¹⁹ (see also²⁰) that linear response should hold much more generally (possibly in the sense of

Whitney), giving a candidate for the derivative in the form of a possibly divergent series. (Finding the appropriate resummation is part of the question.) We considered the (non structurally stable) setting of piecewise expanding unimodal interval maps, and first² exhibited a sufficient condition for the series proposed by Ruelle to admit a resummation. Then, with D. Smania, we^{3,4} showed that this condition is equivalent to *horizontalness*, i.e., that the path f_t be *tangent to the topological class of f_0* . In addition, we³ proved that μ_t is differentiable at zero, and that the derivative coincides with the resummation for Ruelle's series obtained in our previous paper² *if and only if* the condition holds. ^a This (codimension one) horizontalness condition for linear response had not been expected by anyone.

Before stating our results more precisely in Section 3, we shall briefly review previous literature and discuss Ruelle's program in Section 2. In Section 4, we mention new results on nonuniformly hyperbolic interval maps.

2. Previous results – Ruelle's candidate for the derivative

2.1. A toy model

Fix $\delta > 0$ and consider a $C^{2+\delta}$ locally uniformly expanding circle endomorphism $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$. Then the SRB measure is an absolutely continuous invariant probability measure with a $C^{1+\delta}$ density ρ , which is the fixed point of the transfer operator

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(x) = \sum_{y:f(y)=x} \frac{\varphi(y)}{|f'(y)|} \quad (1)$$

acting on the Banach space $C^{1+\delta}(S^1)$. The eigenvalue 1 for this operator is simple, and the rest of the spectrum lies in a disc of radius strictly smaller than 1. (These spectral properties persist if \mathcal{L} is viewed as acting on $C^\delta(S^1)$.) If $t \mapsto f_t$ is a $C^{1+\delta}$ map, with $f = f_0$, then it is not very difficult to see that $t \mapsto \mathcal{L}_t$ is differentiable at $t = 0$, if \mathcal{L}_t is viewed as acting from $C^{1+\delta}$ to C^δ . Let us make the assumption^b that $v = \partial_t f_t|_{t=0}$ can be written as $v = X \circ f$, with $X \in C^{1+\delta}(S^1)$. Let ρ_t be the invariant density of f_t . Computing the formula for $\partial_t \mathcal{L}_t|_{t=0}$ and using standard techniques from perturbation theory¹², one can prove

$$\partial_t \rho_t|_{t=0} = -(\text{id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}(X'\rho + X\rho'), \quad (2)$$

^aAt the time of Equadiff 07, only sufficiency of the condition had been proved.

^bSee [21, beginning of §17] for an example of how to reduce to this case.

where a prime denotes derivative with respect to $x \in S^1$, and the derivative with respect to t is taken viewing ρ_t as an element of $C^\delta(S^1)$. By the spectral properties of \mathcal{L} on $C^\delta(S^1)$, and since $\int_{S^1} (X\rho)' dx = 0$ ensures that the residue of the pole of $(\text{id} - z\mathcal{L})^{-1}((X\rho)')$ at $z = 1$ vanishes, the right-hand-side of (2) is well-defined. *We view (2) as a “meta-formula,” for the linear response which, suitably interpreted, gives the derivative of the SRB measure in rather wide generality.*

In higher dimensions, one puts $(X\rho)' = \rho \text{div} X + \langle \text{grad} \rho, X \rangle$. In settings where the SRB measure is not absolutely continuous, ρ and $(X\rho)'$ should be viewed as distributions, e.g. in the spaces introduced in^{6,7,10} via

$$\int (X\rho)' \varphi dx = - \int \langle \text{grad} \varphi, \rho X \rangle dx = - \int \langle \text{grad} \varphi, X \rangle \rho dx,$$

for φ of sufficiently high differentiability. The *real* difficulty of this problem is to interpret suitably the right-hand-side of (2) when $X\rho'$ does not belong to a Banach space on which the transfer operator has good spectral properties.

In view of comparing (2) with Ruelle’s formula (5), note that $\mathcal{L}^*(dx) = dx$ and integration by parts yield (the sums converge exponentially)

$$\begin{aligned} - \int \varphi (\text{id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1} (X'\rho + X\rho') dx &= - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int \mathcal{L}^j ((\varphi \circ f^j)(X\rho)') dx \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int (\varphi \circ f^j)' X \rho dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

2.2. The smooth hyperbolic and partially hyperbolic case

Ruelle¹⁸ considered C^3 paths $t \mapsto f_t$ of mixing C^3 Axiom A attractors. Writing μ_t for the SRB measure of the diffeomorphism f_t (see²³ for a definition), he showed that for any C^2 observable φ the map $t \mapsto \int \varphi d\mu_t$ is differentiable^c, and, writing $X := \partial_t f_t|_{t=0} \circ f_0^{-1}$, he proved that

$$\partial_t \int \varphi d\mu_t|_{t=0} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int \langle \text{grad}(\varphi \circ f_0^j), X \rangle d\mu_0, \quad (4)$$

and that the right-hand-side of the above equation is an exponentially decaying sum (using for this a decomposition of X as (X^s, X^u)).

^cDifferentiability — without the linear response formula — had been obtained previously for Anosov flows by Katok et al. [13, p. 595]. Note that there are several typos there, in particular f in Cor. 1 need only be assumed Hölder.

We expect that a simpler proof of Ruelle’s result¹⁸ can be obtained by using the spaces introduced in^{6,7,10} as explained when discussing the metaformula (2) above. See Butterley–Liverani⁸, for an implementation of such modern techniques in the framework of hyperbolic flows.

More recently, Dolgopyat⁹ considered a class of partially hyperbolic systems: Assuming that f_0 is a C^∞ diffeomorphism which is an Abelian element of an Anosov action, and that f_0 is rapidly mixing, he proved that for any C^∞ path $t \mapsto f_t$, any C^∞ observable φ , and any SRB measure μ_t (in the sense of²³) for f_t , the map $t \mapsto \int \varphi d\mu_t$ is differentiable at $t = 0$ and (4) holds (for $X = \partial_t f_t|_{t=0} \circ f_0^{-1}$). The sum in the right-hand-side is convergent (not necessarily exponentially, see [9, P. 405]). Generically, the time-one map of an Anosov flow is rapidly mixing, giving an example of f_0 satisfying the required conditions. (Toral extensions of Anosov diffeomorphisms give other simple examples, see [9, §2.3].) Contrary to the Axiom A case, the maps f_0 considered by Dolgopyat are not structurally stable in general. However “most” [9, §2.4] orbits can be shadowed. In other words, the breakdown of structural stability is not too drastic (morally, it occurs only in neutral directions, but we are not able to formulate this precisely).

2.3. Ruelle’s candidate for the derivative of the SRB

In several papers and lectures, Ruelle^{19,20} suggested that the linear response formula (4), suitably interpreted, should hold in more general settings, such as parametrised families f_t of smooth unimodal maps or Hénon-like maps. Ruelle gave two crucial indications on how to interpret (4). The first one is that, since “bad” parameters t (e.g. for which there is no SRB measure μ_t) will exist arbitrarily close to a “good” nonuniformly hyperbolic (e.g. Collet-Eckmann or Benedicks-Carleson) parameter $t = 0$, differentiability should be understood only in the sense of Whitney^d over a set of good parameters. The second one is that, since (4) will not always be a convergent sum (indeed, it may be exponentially divergent), it could be understood as *some kind* of analytic continuation at $z = 1$ of the *susceptibility function* $\Psi(z)$ associated to f_0 , X , and φ , via

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int z^j \langle \text{grad}(\varphi \circ f_0^j), X \rangle d\mu_0. \quad (5)$$

^dA function $\mathcal{R}(t)$ is differentiable in the sense of Whitney at $0 \in \Lambda$, where Λ contains 0 as an accumulation point, if there exists r so that $\mathcal{R}(t) = \mathcal{R}(0) + rt + o(t)$ for all $t \in \Lambda$.

(In the same spirit as one may define $1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + 16 + \dots$ to be -1 , in virtue of $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2z)^j = (1 - 2z)^{-1}$.) Replacing $(\text{id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}$ by the resolvent $(\text{id} - z\mathcal{L})^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z\mathcal{L})^j$ in the right-hand-side of our metaformula (2) gives an essentially equivalent reformulation of Ruelle's candidate.

Ruelle, first in²⁰ and then with Jiang¹¹, considered certain subhyperbolic analytic unimodal maps f_0 (i.e., with a critical point landing on a repelling periodic orbit after finitely many iterates^e). If X is analytic and φ is smooth, they proved^{11,20} that $\Psi(z)$ extends meromorphically to the entire complex plane and $z = 1$ is not a pole. At the time, this gave hope that $\Psi(1)$, defined by this meromorphic continuation, could be the (Whitney) derivative of $t \mapsto \int \varphi d\mu_t$, if f_t is a smooth path through $f = f_0$ and $\partial_t f_t|_{t=0} = X \circ f$. However, in view of Section 3, the analytic continuation result in these Markov cases may be a fluke (if not a red herring).

3. Susceptibility function and linear response for piecewise expanding unimodal maps

Set $I = [-1, 1]$, and let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be continuous, with $f(-1) = f(1) = -1$. Assume that $f|_{[-1,0]}$ and $f|_{[0,1]}$ both extend to C^3 and uniformly expanding maps. Setting $c = 0$ and $c_k = f^k(c)$ for $k \geq 1$, assume in addition that c is not periodic (see^{3,4} for a weakening of this assumption), and that f is topologically mixing on $[c_2, c_1]$. We call such f *nonperiodic mixing piecewise expanding and C^3 unimodal maps*. Although not structurally stable, such maps f enjoy strong statistical properties: They admit a unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measure, which is mixing and has a density ρ of bounded variation (by classical results of Lasota–Yorke). If $t \mapsto f_t$ is a C^2 path of such maps then Keller¹⁴ proved that $t \mapsto \rho_t \in L^1(dx)$ has a $t \ln t$ modulus of continuity, so that it is η -Hölder for every $\eta < 1$.

In September 2006, David Ruelle was already working on the nonrecurrent unimodal case (see Subsection 4.1), and he generously shared with me his strategy of considering Banach spaces of sums of smooth functions and functions with singularities along the postcritical orbit. This inspired² the decomposition $\rho = \rho_{reg} + \rho_{sal}$, for the invariant density of a nonperiodic mixing piecewise expanding and C^3 unimodal map, where ρ'_{reg} is of bounded variation and, writing H_u for the Heaviside function $H_u(x) = -1$

^eSuch maps have a finite Markov partition and an SRB measure μ_0 .

6

for $x < u$, $H_u(u) = -1/2$ and $H_u(x) = 0$ for $x > u$,

$$\rho_{sal} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_1}{(f^{k-1})'(c_1)} H_{c_k},$$

where $s_1 = -\lim_{x < c_1, x \rightarrow c_1} \rho(x)$. Then, we² proved:

Proposition 3.1. *Let f_0 be a nonperiodic mixing piecewise expanding and C^3 unimodal map. Let $X \in C^2(I)$ satisfy $X(-1) = 0$. Let $\varphi \in C^1(I)$. Then $\Psi(z)$, defined by (5) for $\mu_0 = \rho dx$, is holomorphic in the disc $|z| < 1$, where*

$$\Psi(z) = - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(c_j) \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{z^{j-k} s_1 X(c_k)}{(f^{k-1})'(c_1)} - \int \varphi(\text{id} - z\mathcal{L})^{-1} (X' \rho_{sal} + (X \rho_{reg})') dx, \quad (6)$$

where \mathcal{L} is defined by (1). If $\mathcal{J}(f, X) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_1}{(f^{k-1})'(c_1)} X(c_k) = 0$, the right-hand-side of (6) at $z = 1$ is a well-defined number, denoted^f Ψ_1 .

Consider now a C^2 path f_t through a nonperiodic mixing piecewise expanding C^3 unimodal map $f_0 = f$ (we refer to³ for precise definitions) and write ρ_t for the invariant density. Assume $\partial_t f_t|_{t=0} = X \circ f$. If $\mathcal{J}(f, X) \neq 0$, examples where $t \mapsto \mathcal{R}(t) = \int \varphi \rho_t dx$ is *not* Lipschitz (for $\varphi \in C^\infty(I)$ and Markov f_0) were obtained independently by Mazzolena¹⁷ and in².

The meaning of the condition $\mathcal{J}(f, X) = 0$ escaped me at the time of writing². I had not noticed that $\mathcal{J}(f, X) = s_1 J(f, X \circ f)$ with

$$J(f, v) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{v(f^j(c))}{(f^j)'(c_1)}, \quad (7)$$

where $J(f, v) \neq 0$ is a well-known *transversality* condition for $v = \partial f_t|_{t=0}$ in smooth unimodal dynamics (see e.g. Tsujii²²), while the so-called *horizontality* condition $J(f, v) = 0$ had also appeared in the literature (see e.g.¹ and references there to previous work of Lyubich).

The picture for piecewise expanding unimodal maps finally became clear in my joint work with D. Smania: A C^2 path f_t of nonperiodic mixing piecewise expanding C^3 unimodal maps is *tangent (at $t = 0$) to the topological class of $f_0 = f$* if there exists a path \tilde{f}_t and homeomorphisms h_t so that $\tilde{f}_t - f_t = O(t^2)$ and $\tilde{f}_t \circ h_t = h_t \circ f$ for all small enough t . We prove in³ and⁴ that f_t is tangent to the topological class of f if and only if $v = \partial f_t|_{t=0}$ is

^fSee [3, Prop. 4.6] for a condition guaranteeing that Ψ_1 is the Abelian limit of $\Psi(z)$ as $z \rightarrow 1$ in $[0, 1)$.

horizontal (that is, $J(f, v) = 0$).[§] In addition, we show in³ that the maps $t \mapsto h_t$ are then differentiable and that $\alpha = \partial_t h_t|_{t=0}$ is the unique bounded solution to the twisted cohomological equation

$$v(x) = \alpha(f(x)) - f'(x)\alpha(x), \quad x \neq c. \quad (8)$$

It turns out³ that when $v = \partial f_t|_{t=0} = X \circ f$ is horizontal the first term in the right-hand-side of (6) for $z = 1$ can be written as $-\alpha\rho'_{sal}$. The main result of³ is that horizontality is sufficient to get the linear response formula:

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that f_t is tangent to the topological class of f_0 . Then $t \mapsto \rho_t dx$ from $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ to Radon measures is differentiable at 0, and*

$$\partial_t(\rho_t dx)|_{t=0} = -\alpha\rho'_{sal} - (\text{id} - \mathcal{L})^{-1}(X'\rho_{sal} + (X\rho_{reg})') dx.$$

In particular, for any $\varphi \in C^0(I)$, the map $\mathcal{R}(t) = \int \varphi \rho_t dx$ is differentiable at $t = 0$, and $\mathcal{R}'(0) = \Psi_1$ from Proposition 3.1

Lemma 4.1 in² then implies that

$$(\text{id} - f_*)\partial_t(\rho_t dx)|_{t=0} = -X\rho'_{sal} - X'\rho dx - X\rho'_{reg} dx.$$

The above identity may be viewed as an avatar of our metaformula (2).

Finally, we prove in³ that the horizontality condition is necessary:

Theorem 3.2. *Assume v is not horizontal for $f_0 = f$. If $\inf d(f^j(c), c) = 0$, assume in addition that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c, x < c} f'(x) = -\lim_{x \rightarrow c, x > c} f'(x)$.*

If the postcritical orbit of f_0 is infinite, then there exists $\varphi \in C^\infty(I)$ so that for any sequence $t_n \rightarrow 0$ so that c is not periodic under f_{t_n} we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\int \varphi \rho_{t_n} dx - \int \varphi \rho_0 dx}{t_n} \right| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (9)$$

If the postcritical orbit of f_0 is finite, then there exists $\varphi \in C^\infty(I)$ so that (9) holds for any sequence $t_n \rightarrow 0$ so that c is infinite under f_{t_n} .

4. Nonuniformly hyperbolic interval maps

4.1. Nonrecurrent real analytic unimodal maps

Ruelle (see Section 3) had let us know that he was studying the transfer operator of nonrecurrent smooth interval maps in view of analyzing the

[§]Along the way, we⁴ build a full theory of smooth deformations in this setting, constructing many nontrivial smooth families \tilde{f}_t in the topological class of f_0 , and thus many nontrivial smooth families f_t tangent to the class of f_0 .

susceptibility function. In March–April 2007 we communicated to him our progress in the twin works^{3,4}, in particular the fact that our co-dimension one condition $\mathcal{J}(f, X) = 0$ amounted to horizontality, i.e., that the path be tangent to a topological class. A few weeks later, Ruelle wrote to us that the horizontality condition also appeared in the setting he was considering, and that he was going to consider paths f_t within a topological class. His preprint²¹, available shortly after the Equadiff conference, deals with real analytic maps $f : I \rightarrow I$ having a unique critical point c with $f''(c) < 0$, and satisfying a Misiurewicz-type hyperbolicity condition^h implying that $\inf d(c_k, c) > 0$. Fixing such a map f , the first main achievement of the paper²¹ is the construction of a Banach space of functions which contains the invariant density ρ of f , and on which the transfer operator \mathcal{L} defined by (1) has a simple eigenvalue at 1, while the rest of the spectrum is contained in a disc of radius strictly smaller than 1. Elements of this Banach space are sums of a differentiable function on I with a sum over $k \geq 1$ of an explicit function having a singularity of type $\sqrt{x - c_k}^{-1}$ with an explicit function having a singularity of type $\sqrt{x - c_k}$. The second key result in²¹ is that, if X is a real-analytic function on I so that $J(f, X \circ f) = 0$ (recall (7)), then there is $\xi_1 < 1$, and for any smooth enough φ on I there is $\xi_2 > 1$, so that a resummation $\Psi(X, z)$ of the susceptibility function $\Psi(z)$ associated via (5) to $f_0 = f$, $\mu_0 = \rho dx$, X , and φ , defines a holomorphic function in $\xi_1 < |z| < \xi_2$. Finally, Ruelle considers in²¹ a smooth enough family $t \mapsto f_t$, so that f_t is topologically conjugated to $f_0 = f$ and so that $\partial_t f_t|_{t=0} = X \circ f$, with X real analytic ($X \circ f$ is then horizontal), and he proves that for any smooth enough φ on I the function $t \mapsto \int \varphi \rho_t dx$ (where $\rho_t dx$ denotes the unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measure of f_t) is differentiable at $t = 0$, where its derivative coincides with $\Psi(X, 1)$ from the second result.

4.2. Complex analytic quadratic-like Collet-Eckmann maps

Put $I = [-1, 1]$ and recall that a C^3 map $f : I \rightarrow I$ is a *Collet-Eckmann S-unimodal* map if it has $c = 0$ as unique critical point, if there exist $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$ so that $|(f^n)'(f(c))| \geq C\lambda^n$ for all $n \geq 1$, and if in addition it has nonpositive Schwarzian derivative (seeⁱ e.g.¹⁵).

We say that f_t is a *holomorphic family of quadratic-like maps in a neighbourhood of I* , if there exists a complex neighbourhood U of I so that $t \mapsto f_t$

^hSee²¹ for details

ⁱOur other assumptions will ensure $f''(c) \neq 0$.

is a holomorphic ^j map from a complex neighbourhood of zero to the Banach space $B(U)$ of holomorphic functions on U extending continuously to \overline{U} (with the supremum norm), such that the two following conditions hold: Firstly, for real t , the map f_t is real on the real part of U , with $f_t(I) \subset I$ and $f(-1) = f(1) = -1$. Secondly, there exist simply connected complex domains W and V , whose boundaries are analytic Jordan curves, with $I \subset W$, $I \subset V$, $\overline{V} \subset U$, $\overline{V} \subset W$, and so that $f_0 : V \mapsto W$ is a double-branched ramified covering, with $c = 0$ as a unique critical point.

After the Equadiff conference, we proved with D. Smania⁵ :

Theorem 4.1. *Let $t \mapsto f_t$ be a holomorphic family of quadratic-like maps in a neighbourhood of I , with all periodic orbits repelling. Assume that for each small real t the map f_t restricted to I is a (real) Collet-Eckmann S -unimodal map. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ so that for each real analytic function φ on I , the map $t \mapsto \int \varphi \rho_t dx$, where ρ_t is the invariant density of f_t , is real analytic on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$.*

An important remark is that the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 and Mañé-Sad-Sullivan¹⁶ imply that for small real t , each f_t is topologically conjugated to f_0 on I . The other main ingredient of our proof are the results and constructions of Keller and Nowicki¹⁵ which allow us to exploit dynamical zeta functions, as in in [13, Proof of Thm. 1].

4.3. Collet-Eckmann maps of finite differentiability

The conjectures on smooth (non analytic) Collet-Eckmann maps stated as Conjectures A, A', and B in² and³ are still open at this moment.

Acknowledgments

Partially supported by ANR-05-JCJC-0107-01. Many thanks to the organisers of Dynamical Systems Days (December 2007), Antofagasta, Chile.

References

1. A. Avila, M. Lyubich, and W. de Melo, *Regular or stochastic dynamics in real analytic families of unimodal maps*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003) 451–550.
2. V. Baladi, *On the susceptibility function of piecewise expanding interval maps*, Comm. Math. Phys. **275** (2007) 839–859.

^jHolomorphic means complex analytic.

3. V. Baladi and D. Smania, *Linear response for piecewise expanding unimodal maps*, arxiv.org preprint, 2007.
4. V. Baladi and D. Smania, *Smooth deformations of piecewise expanding unimodal maps*, arxiv.org preprint, 2007.
5. V. Baladi and D. Smania, *Analyticity of the SRB measure for holomorphic families of quadratic-like Collet-Eckmann maps*, in preparation.
6. V. Baladi and M. Tsujii, *Anisotropic Hölder and Sobolev spaces for hyperbolic diffeomorphisms*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **57** (2007) 127–154.
7. V. Baladi and M. Tsujii, *Dynamical determinants and spectrum for hyperbolic diffeomorphisms*, arxiv.org preprint, 2006.
8. O. Butterley and C. Liverani, *Smooth Anosov flows: correlation spectra and stability*, *J. Modern Dynamics* **1** (2007) 301–322.
9. D. Dolgopyat, *On differentiability of SRB states for partially hyperbolic systems*, *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004) 389–449.
10. S. Gouëzel and C. Liverani, *Banach spaces adapted to Anosov systems*, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **26** (2006) 189–218.
11. Y. Jiang, and D. Ruelle, *Analyticity of the susceptibility function for unimodal Markovian maps of the interval*, *Nonlinearity* **18** (2005) 2447–2453.
12. T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Second corrected printing of the second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
13. A. Katok, G. Knieper, M. Pollicott, and H. Weiss, *Differentiability and analyticity of topological entropy for Anosov and geodesic flows*, *Invent. Math.* **98** (1989) 581–597.
14. G. Keller, *Stochastic stability in some chaotic dynamical systems*, *Monatshfte Math.* **94** (1982) 313–333.
15. G. Keller and T. Nowicki, *Spectral theory, zeta functions and the distribution of periodic points for Collet-Eckmann maps*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **149** (1992) 31–69.
16. R. Mañé, P. Sad, and D. Sullivan, *On the dynamics of rational maps*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **16** (1983) 193–217.
17. M. Mazzolena, *Dinamiche espansive unidimensionali: dipendenza della misura invariante da un parametro*, Master’s Thesis, Roma 2 (2007).
18. D. Ruelle, *Differentiation of SRB states*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **187** (1997) 227–241, *Differentiation of SRB states: Corrections and complements*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **234** (2003) 185–190.
19. D. Ruelle, *Application of hyperbolic dynamics to physics: some problems and conjectures*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **41** (2004) 275–278.
20. D. Ruelle, *Differentiating the a.c.i.m. of an interval map with respect to f* , *Comm. Math. Phys.* **258** (2005) 445–453.
21. D. Ruelle, *Structure and f -dependence of the a.c.i.m. for a unimodal map f of Misiurewicz type*, arxiv.org, 2007.
22. M. Tsujii, *Lyapunov exponents in families of one-dimensional dynamical systems*, *Invent. Math.* **111** (1993) 113–137.
23. L.-S. Young, *What are SRB measures, and which dynamical systems have them?* *J. Statist. Phys.* **108** (2002) 733–754.